

**REMARKS.**

In the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 1-26 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Cochran (U.S. Patent No. 5,995,979); and rejected claims 19-20 and 25 as being unpatentable over Brunner et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,995,971) in view of Cochran. Applicant respectfully traverses these rejections for the reasons below.

Applicant wishes to thank Examiners Coby and Alam for allowing Applicant's representative to discuss the pending claims during the interview held on August 31, 2005. During the interview, the Examiner clarified his rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102. Specifically, the Examiner suggested that the claims be amended to more clearly define the environment in which embodiments of the invention operate.

Although Applicant believes that claims 1-26 are allowable without further amendment, to expedite prosecution, Applicant has amended the claims to more clearly specify that an embodiment consistent with the present invention can operate in a Web environment.

Because the cited art does not teach disclose features recited in claims 1-26 as amended, Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner to withdraw the rejections of these claims under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) and allow the claims.


In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and reexamination of this application and the timely allowance of claims 1-26.

Please grant any extensions of time required to enter this response and charge any additional required fees to our deposit account 06-0916.

Respectfully submitted,

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,  
GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

Dated: October 5, 2005

By:   
Milan Kapadia  
Reg. No. 55,982